Plant Archives Vol. 25, Special Issue (ICTPAIRS-JAU, Junagadh) Jan. 2025 pp. 633-640

e-ISSN:2581-6063 (online), ISSN:0972-5210



Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2025.v25.SP.ICTPAIRS-091

REGIONAL RAINFALL FREQUENCY ANALYSIS OF BHADAR BASIN USING L-MOMENT APPROACH

H.Y. Maheta^{1*}, H.D. Rank², G.V. Parajapati³, Kalpesh Kumar¹ and C.R. Bharodia¹

¹Post Graduate Institute of ABM, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India. ²Dept. of SWCE, CAET, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India. ³AICRP on PEASEM, Dept. of REE, CAET, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India. *Corresponding author E-mail:hiten.maheta@jau.in

ABSTRACT ABSTRACT ABSTRACT

Key words: Bhadar Basin, L-Moment, Rainfall, Rainfall frequency analysis.

Introduction

Rainfall is the main source of water in India. The identification of the spatial pattern of rainfall is usually an essential need for water resources planning and management. However, the rainfall fluctuation from year to year and from place to place is usually difficult to be fully recognized. Rainfall frequency analysis plays an important role in hydrologic and economic evaluation of water resources projects. It helps to estimate the return periods and their corresponding event magnitudes, thereby creating reasonable design criteria. The basic problem in rainfall studies is an information problem which can be approached through frequency analysis. The classical approach to rainfall frequency analysis is hampered by insufficient gauging network and insufficient data, especially when the interest is in estimating events of large return periods. At-site rainfall frequency analysis is the analysis in which only rainfall records from the

subject site are used. More commonly, it will be necessary to carry out a regional analysis where rainfall records from a group of similar catchments are used. Regionalization or regional analyses are thought to compensate for the lack of temporal data. Therefore, many present-day hydrologic and climatic studies are trying to find and develop methods for the regionalization of hydrologic and climatic variables. Regional classification helps scientists to simplify the hydro-climatic convolution and therefore reduce the massive body of information, observation and variables. Several methods are commonly used for the regionalization of hydroclimatic variables such as rainfall, stream flow, flood, drought, evapotranspiration and other components of the water cycle. Multivariate techniques, such as a cluster analysis (CA) and principal component analysis (PCA), are very common methods for Frequency analysis (FA) of extreme events, such as floods and droughts, has major

implications in the field of water resources management. FA aims to estimate the risk associated to these events through the fitting of a probability distribution to observed data (e.g. Chow et al., (1988); Lekina et al., (2013). However, reliable estimations require very long station records if single station data are to be used. Regional frequency analysis (RFA) is therefore used to provide a framework for the extreme events Norbiato et al., (2007). In RFA, regions are formed by grouping sites with similar hydrologic features and then hydrological information can be transferred to those target sites Hosking and Wallis (1997). However, Many authors efforts regional frequency analysis in the hydrologic literature, including Dalrymple (1960), the concept was further developed and new approaches were introduced and investigated by other researchers Vicens et al., (1975); Greiss and Wood (1981); Burn (1990); Stedinger and Lu (1995); Hosking and Wallis (1997); Sveinsson et al., (2001); Norbiato et al., (2007); Meshgi and Khalili (2009). L-moments based statistics Hosking and Wallis (1993, 1997) are nowadays routinely used in regional analyzes. Kjeldsen et al., (2002) shows the application of L-moments for regional frequency analysis of annual maximum series of flood flows in KwaZulu-Natal Province of South Africa and identified homogeneous regions and a suitable regional frequency distribution for each region. Kumar and Chatterjee (2005) employed the L-moments to define homogenous regions within 13 gauging sites of the north Brahmaputra region of India. L-moments (Hosking and Wallis, 1993, 1997) has reported many benefits and have potential of unifying current practices of regional design rainfall analysis Smithers and Schulze(2001) and proved better option to define the regional homogeneity of area. In the present study the well-known L-moment based method of the regional frequency analysis of annual maximum rainfall being utilized for the area of Bhadar basin.

Material and Methods

Study Area

The Bhadar is one of the major rivers of Kathaiwar (Saurashtra) peninsula in Gujarat. It originates near Vaddi (Aniali Village) about 26 km north – west of Jasdan in Rajkot district at an elevation of 261 m above mean sea level. It flows towards South up to Jasdan and turns towards south - west upto Jetpur and finally changes its direction towards west till its confluence with Arabian sea at Navibandar (Porbandar). The total length of this river is 198 km. It has a drainage area of 7094 sqkm out of which 706 sqkm is in hilly and the rest in plain regions of Saurashtra. The basin lies between geographical coordinates of 21°25' and 22°10' north latitudes and 69°45'



Fig. 1: Location map of Bhadar basin.

| Site | Site | Ì | [| Record | Mean Precipitation | Mean Annual | 1 |
|------|----------------|----------|-----------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|
| No. | Name | Latitude | Longitude | length (yr.) | Days | Precipitation | Elevation |
| 1 | Alansagar | 22.0747 | 71.1989 | 49 | 16.58 | 359.41 | 218 |
| 2 | Amarnagar | 21.7500 | 70.7936 | 46 | 17.59 | 548.79 | 113 |
| 3 | Amipur | 21.4089 | 69.9442 | 28 | 33.99 | 569.79 | 15 |
| 4 | Bhadar-I | 21.8119 | 70.7600 | 48 | 33.82 | 574.80 | 104 |
| 5 | Boriya | 21.9203 | 70.5000 | 35 | 20.96 | 458.21 | 110 |
| 6 | Chhaparvadi-I | 22.0272 | 70.6700 | 45 | 20.99 | 439.78 | 129 |
| 7 | Chhaparvadi-II | 21.8747 | 70.6042 | 46 | 23.70 | 588.58 | 95 |
| 8 | Dadar | 22.0181 | 70.3617 | 47 | 16.98 | 389.44 | 125 |
| 9 | Dhoraji | 21.7383 | 70.4489 | 59 | 29.86 | 599.69 | 65 |
| 10 | Dhrafa | 21.9633 | 70.1067 | 17 | 16.52 | 578.33 | 118 |
| 11 | Gondal | 21.9617 | 70.8008 | 60 | 31.26 | 549.39 | 134 |
| 12 | Gondali | 22.0228 | 70.8356 | 53 | 24.29 | 556.21 | 149 |
| 13 | Gulabsagar | 21.8458 | 69.7919 | 32 | 14.99 | 491.07 | 77 |
| 14 | Ishwariya | 21.9703 | 71.0192 | 27 | 23.58 | 503.34 | 151 |
| 15 | Jam-Jodhpur | 21.8997 | 70.0364 | 59 | 34.39 | 623.17 | 95 |
| 16 | Jam-Kandorana | 21.8931 | 70.4928 | 51 | 32.59 | 569.90 | 102 |
| 17 | Jasdan | 22.0700 | 71.2200 | 45 | 30.19 | 508.33 | 209 |
| 18 | Jetpur | 21.7594 | 70.6261 | 60 | 33.59 | 639.29 | 92 |
| 19 | Kamadhiya | 21.8528 | 70.9167 | 40 | 32.67 | 565.93 | 118 |
| 20 | Kotda-Sangani | 22.0450 | 70.8122 | 51 | 28.39 | 514.38 | 156 |
| 21 | Lodhika | 22.1361 | 70.6333 | 56 | 29.11 | 560.18 | 160 |
| 22 | Мој | 21.8361 | 70.2767 | 55 | 24.86 | 577.19 | 71 |
| 23 | Nagvadar | 21.7500 | 70.2039 | 33 | 29.48 | 519.12 | 46 |
| 24 | Phophal | 21.8497 | 70.5119 | 47 | 31.97 | 569.05 | 77 |
| 25 | Rajavadala | 22.0953 | 71.1722 | 49 | 16.71 | 348.70 | 217 |
| 26 | Rana-Kandorana | 21.6397 | 69.8867 | 23 | 36.99 | 638.78 | 25 |
| 27 | Revaniya | 22.1997 | 71.3250 | 48 | 14.15 | 318.59 | 202 |
| 28 | Samana | 22.1022 | 70.1456 | 34 | 15.49 | 540.40 | 167 |
| 29 | Sankroli | 21.6514 | 70.7661 | 48 | 29.58 | 529.93 | 132 |
| 30 | Seth-Vadala | 22.0272 | 70.1358 | 34 | 15.15 | 461.51 | 136 |
| 31 | Upleta | 21.7303 | 70.2783 | 52 | 33.58 | 626.17 | 40 |
| 32 | Vachhapari | 22.0686 | 70.8639 | 46 | 25.83 | 558.12 | 171 |
| 33 | Vasavad | 21.8269 | 71.0236 | 27 | 26.98 | 466.94 | 140 |
| 34 | Vegdi | 21.7967 | 70.4867 | 22 | 39.76 | 639.91 | 55 |
| 35 | Venu-II | 21.8294 | 70.1553 | 44 | 23.79 | 518.81 | 60 |
| 36 | Veri | 22.0000 | 70.8047 | 53 | 23.08 | 587.91 | 142 |
| 37 | Vinchhiya | 22.2111 | 71.3808 | 36 | 15.21 | 376.93 | 175 |

 Table 1:
 List of the 37 rain gauge stations and associated characteristics in the Bhadar basin.

and 71°20' east longitudes. It drains about 1/7th of the area of Saurashtra. In the present study, daily rainfall data (1961-2020) of 37 gauge stations, compiled by State Water Data Center, Gandhinagar have been analyzed. The study was limited, by necessity, to daily data In addition, information on longitude, latitude, and mean elevation above sea level was also obtained for each site. Fig. 1 shows the location of the Bhadar basin and the 37 rainfall gauge stations with basic information presented in Table 1.

Preliminary Screening of Data

Stationarity and independence are important

underlying assumptions inherent to frequency analysis. Without stationary and serial correlation tests, the analysis may lead to incorrect results and conclusions. Another requirement is that data at different stations in a homogeneous region should be spatially independent. High spatial cross-correlation between stations gives a lower degree of additional regional information to the site being studied than uncorrelated sites Ngongondo *et al.*, (2011). Stationarity is examined using the nonparametric Mann-Kendall (MK) trend test Mann (1945); Kendall (1975) independence is tested using lag-1 to lag-5 autocorrelation and Moren's I Moren (1950) is used to test for spatial independence.

Regional Frequency Analysis

The methodology that was used here for regional frequency analysis of maximum daily rainfalls in the Bhadar province is an index variable approach based on L-moments as outlined by Hosking and Wallis (1997). L-moments was applied in three steps of the regional frequency analysis to identify regional homogeneity Hosking and Wallis (1997); these were i) identification of candidate homogeneous region using cluster analysis ii) screening of the data using the discordancy measure D_iiii) homogeneity testing using the heterogeneity measure H.

Identification of candidate homogeneous region using cluster analysis

A hierarchical cluster analysis is carried out using Ward's method applying Squared Euclidean Distance as the distance or similarity measure. This helps to determine the number of clusters to work with. The statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) was used in this study to select number of clusters. The formation of candidate regions was based, in accordance with common practice Hosking and Wallis (1997), on the cluster analysis of 'site characteristics': longitude, latitude, elevation, maximum annual precipitation, mean annual precipitation and mean annual number of rainy days.

Screening of the data using the discordancy measure D_i

Hosking and Wallis (1993) derived two statistics to test the homogeneity of the region. The first statistic is discordancy measure (D_i), is a measure of dissimilarity. D_i is a statistic based on the difference between Lmoment ratios of a site and the average L-moment ratios of a group of similar sites. This statistic can also be used to identify erroneous data. The discordancy measure for site i define as follow;

$$D_{i} = \frac{1}{4} N_{k} (u_{i} - \bar{u})^{T} S^{-1} (u_{i} - \bar{u})$$
(1)

Where, Nk is number of sites in region, ui is a vector containing three L-moment ratios (*i.e.*, L-Cv, L-skewness and L-kurtosis) for site i, \bar{u} un weighted group average of the L-moment ratios and S is the sample covariance matrix of L-moments of all sites. Generally, any site with $D_i>3$ is considered discordant Hosking and Wallis (1993); Adamowski (2000).

Homogeneity testing using the heterogeneity measure H

The second criterion, called H-statistic, is a measure of heterogeneity. This statistic compares the betweensite variability (dispersion) of L-moments with what would be expected for a homogeneous region. The test compares the variability of L-statistics of the actual region to those of the simulated series. There are three heterogeneity measurers, namely H_1 , H_2 and H_3 , which are calculated using the following equation:

$$H_i = \frac{\left(v_i - \mu_{V_i}\right)}{\sigma_{V_i}} \tag{2}$$

Where μ_v and σ_v are the mean and standard deviation of N_{sim} values of V (N_{sim} is the number of simulation data). V_i is calculated from the regional data based on V statistic. A region is declared "acceptably homogeneous" if H < 1 "Possibly homogenous/heterogeneous" if 1 < H < 2and "Definitely heterogeneous" if H > 2 Hosking and Wallis (1997).

Results and Discussion

Test for Stationarity, Serial Independence and Spatial Independence

The preliminary process of data (*i.e.*, examining the stationarity, serial independence and spatial independence)



Fig. 2: Autocorrelation function analysis plots of maximum daily rainfall series for 37 rain gauge stations.

| Site | Site | Mann-Kendall | Sig. | |
|------|----------------|--------------|------|--|
| No. | Name | (Z) | | |
| 1 | Alansagar | 1.21 | NS | |
| 2 | Amarnagar | 0.59 | NS | |
| 3 | Amipur | 0.07 | NS | |
| 4 | Bhadar-I | 1.27 | NS | |
| 5 | Boriya | 0.40 | NS | |
| 6 | Chhaparvadi-I | 0.17 | NS | |
| 7 | Chhaparvadi-II | 0.07 | NS | |
| 8 | Dadar | 1.63 | NS | |
| 9 | Dhoraji | 1.09 | NS | |
| 10 | Dhrafa | 0.00 | NS | |
| 11 | Gondal | 1.12 | NS | |
| 12 | Gondali | 1.95 | * | |
| 13 | Gulabsagar | 0.08 | NS | |
| 14 | Ishwariya | 1.25 | NS | |
| 15 | Jam-Jodhpur | 0.23 | NS | |
| 16 | Jam-Kandorana | 0.12 | NS | |
| 17 | Jasdan | 0.68 | NS | |
| 18 | Jetpur | 1.25 | NS | |
| 19 | Kamadhiya | 0.85 | NS | |
| 20 | Kotda-Sangani | 1.40 | NS | |
| 21 | Lodhika | 0.00 | NS | |
| 22 | Мој | 0.80 | NS | |
| 23 | Nagvadar | 0.69 | NS | |
| 24 | Phophal | 0.23 | NS | |
| 25 | Rajavadala | 1.20 | NS | |
| 26 | Rana-Kandorana | 2.38 | * | |
| 27 | Revaniya | 0.71 | NS | |
| 28 | Samana | 0.30 | NS | |
| 29 | Sankroli | 3.45 | * | |
| 30 | Seth-Vadala | 0.68 | NS | |
| 31 | Upleta | 1.10 | NS | |
| 32 | Vachhapari | 1.61 | NS | |
| 33 | Vasavad | 1.10 | NS | |
| 34 | Vegdi | 0.00 | NS | |
| 35 | Venu-II | 0.47 | NS | |
| 36 | Veri | 1.23 | NS | |
| 37 | Vinchhiya | 1.17 | NS | |

 Table 2. Results of the trend analysis of maximum daily rainfall series using the Mann-Kendall test.

region do not have significant trends, it is reasonable to infer that trends are not significant at the regional level and data can be treated as stationery series. The value of autocorrelation coefficients for lags 1 to 5 are plotted in the correlograms presented in Fig. 2, where the solid

5

۱

δm.

Ъ

10

15

20

25

4

CASE

Label

Alansagar Rajavadala

| Cluster 1 | Revaniya | 27 | + |
|-----------|----------------|----|-------|
| 4 | Dadar | 8 | + |
| | Vinchhiya | 37 | + |
| | Rana-Kandorana | 26 | + |
| | Opleta | 31 | + |
| | Vendi | A | -++ |
| | Mipur | 3 | +1 |
| | Bhadar-I | 4 | +1 |
| | Jan-Kandorana | 16 | ++++ |
| Cluster 3 | Dhrafa | 10 | + 1 |
| - 1 | Kanadhiya | 19 | + 1 |
| | Veri | 36 | -+i i |
| | Jan-Jodhpur | 15 | + 1 |
| | Jetpur | 18 | + 1 |
| | Boj | 22 | + i |
| | Phophal | 24 | + 1 |
| | Dhoraji | 9 | + 1 |
| | Chiaparvadi-II | 7 | + + |
| | Ishariya | 14 | + 1 |
| | Kotda-Sangani | 20 | + 1 |
| | Jasdan | 17 | + 1 |
| | Gondal | 11 | + |
| Cluster 2 | Gondali | 12 | + 1 1 |
| cluster 2 | Anamagar | 2 | + |
| | Sankroli | 29 | + |
| | Iodhila | 21 | + |
| | Vachhapari | 2 | + ++ |
| | Samana | 28 | + 1 |
| | Seth-Tadala | 30 | + |
| | Vasavad | B | +++ 1 |
| | Boriya | 5 | + |
| Cluster 4 | Chhaparvadi-I | 6 | |
| | Nagwadar | 23 | +1 |
| | Venu-11 | Q | -+++ |
| | Gulabsagar | B | + |

was carried out using the Mann-Kendall test, autocorrelation coefficients and Moran's I test to verify that the maximum daily rainfall data are appropriate for regional frequency analysis.

The results of the Mann-Kendall trend test are presented in Table 2, from which it can be seen that out of 37 rainfall stations, only three stations demonstrate a statistically significant trend and remaining 34 stations show no significant trends at 5% significance level. At most observations of maximum daily rainfall in the study

Fig. 3: Dendogram.

| No. | Site Name | Di | | | | | | |
|----------|------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Region 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Alansagar | 1.319 | | | | | | |
| 8 | Dadar | 1.227 | | | | | | |
| 25 | Rajavadala | 0.973 | | | | | | |
| 27 | Revaniya | 0.358 | | | | | | |
| 37 | Vinchhiya | 1.124 | | | | | | |
| Region 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Amarnagar | 1.252 | | | | | | |
| 11 | Gondal | 1.363 | | | | | | |
| 12 | Gondali | 0.312 | | | | | | |
| 14 | Ishwariya | 1.324 | | | | | | |
| 17 | Jasdan | 0.877 | | | | | | |
| 20 | Kotda-Sangani | 0.329 | | | | | | |
| 21 | Lodhika | 0.899 | | | | | | |
| 28 | Samana | 2.229 | | | | | | |
| 29 | Sankroli | 0.797 | | | | | | |
| 32 | Vachhapari | 1.194 | | | | | | |
| | Region 3 | • | | | | | | |
| 3 | Amipur | 0.102 | | | | | | |
| 4 | Bhadar-I | 0.024 | | | | | | |
| 7 | 7 Chhaparvadi-II | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Dhoraji | 4.380* | | | | | | |
| 10 | Dhrafa | 4.196* | | | | | | |
| 15 | Jam-Jodhpur | 0.069 | | | | | | |
| 16 | Jam-Kandorana | 0.387 | | | | | | |
| 18 | Jetpur | 0.136 | | | | | | |
| 19 | Kamadhiya | 0.518 | | | | | | |
| 22 | Мој | 0.196 | | | | | | |
| 24 | Phophal | 0.230 | | | | | | |
| 26 | Rana-Kandorana | 0.210 | | | | | | |
| 31 | Upleta | 0.142 | | | | | | |
| 34 | Vegdi | 0.979 | | | | | | |
| 36 | Veri | 1.168 | | | | | | |
| Region 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Boriya | 0.714 | | | | | | |
| 6 | Chhaparvadi-I | 0.494 | | | | | | |
| 13 | Gulabsagar | 1.531 | | | | | | |
| 23 | Nagvadar | 0.298 | | | | | | |
| 30 | Seth-Vadala | 0.998 | | | | | | |
| 33 | Vasavad | 1.923* | | | | | | |
| 35 | Venu-II | 1.220 | | | | | | |

Table 3: Value of discordancy measure (D_i) for all region with
sites.

horizontal lines are intended to give critical values for testing whether or not the autocorrelation coefficients are significantly different from zero. It can be seen that for almost all stations autocorrelation coefficients within the critical bounds, thus we might well consider the maximum daily rainfall series as time-independent.

The results of Moren's I calculations suggested that

cross-correlation among the stations was not statistically significant at the 5% level and the data series can be considered spatially independent.

Cluster Analysis

As described in the methodology section, a hierarchical cluster analysis with Ward's method was first applied to identify initial homogeneous regions. The result of Ward's clustering with four clusters is depicted in the dendrogram drawn in Fig. 3. The rainfall clusters were reviewed to assess whether they are spatially continuous and physically reasonable. The spatial distribution of the rainfall groups is illustrated in Fig. 4. The first region located in the eastern arm of the Bhadar basin with an average altitude of 187 masl. Mean annual rainfall (MAR) was around 359 mm with average annual maximum rainfall (AMR) 73 mm. The second region was comprised of ten stations located along the ridge and northern central part of Bhadar basin with surrounding medium altitude areas with average altitude of 154 masl. The region had a MAR of around 535 mm with average AMR 105 mm. The third region had 15 stations mostly located in the central lowlands with average altitude of 80 masl. MAR was 596 mm and average AMR was 118 mm. The third regions raingauge stations having high rainfall areas as compared to other regions and located around the Bhadarbasin.

A combination of convective processes over land and adjacent water are major influences of intense rainfall in the region. The fourth homogenous region is formed by seven stations situated in the upland crest of Bhadar basin in western parts but in the leeward southern face. This region has an average altitude of 100 masl and mean



Fig. 4: The spatial distribution of the rainfall group.

| Region | No. of site | H | Judg- ment | H_2 | Judg- ment | H ₃ | Judg- ment |
|--|----------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Region-1 | 5 | 0.851 | HR | -0.245 | HR | -0.431 | HR |
| Region-2 | 10 | -0.813 | HR | -0.749 | HR | -0.803 | HR |
| Region-3 | 15 | 0.879 | HR | 1.468 | PHR | 1.456 | PHR |
| Region-4 | 7 | -0.918 | HR | -0.473 | HR | -0.223 | HR |
| PHR: Possibly homogeneous region, HR: Homogeneous region | | | | | | | |

Table 4: Heterogeneity measures including discordant site.

annual precipitation of 479 mm and AMR of 107 mm.

Discordancy measures

The discordancy measures together with the sample L-moment ratios for the four regions of Bhadar basin are given in Fig. 5. The result of the discordancy test for these four groups indicates that there are no discordant station within the groups except for the Region 3 and Region 4. The critical value 1.333 and 2.491 are not exceeding for the sites of region 1 and region 2 respectively, so both the region is homogenous. The critical value 3 is exceeded at two sites of region 3, *i.e.* Dhoraji and Dhrafa, which have discordancy measures of 4.38 and 4.19, respectively. It can be seen from Fig. 5 that Dhoraji has the lowest L-skewness but high Lkurtosis and Dhrafa has very high L-CV but low Lskewness and L-kurtosis. Therefore, these two sites are excluded from the regional frequency analysis. One possible reason for the exceptional results of site Dhrafa has the shortest time series 17 years, which makes the unreliable high-moments. It is observed for the region - 4 that only Vasavad site has higher D_i value *i.e.* 1.923 than critical value 1.917. It can be seen that despite the data being positive skewed, neither outliers nor unusual data are detected. Therefore, the higher D_i may be due to its

 Table 5:
 Heterogeneity measures excluding discordant site.

| Region | No. of site | H | Judg- ment | H ₂ | Judg- ment | Н | Judg- ment |
|--|----------------|--------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--------|---------------|
| Region-1 | 5 | 0.851 | HR | -0.245 | HR | -0.431 | HR |
| Region-2 | 10 | -0.813 | HR | -0.749 | HR | -0.803 | HR |
| Region-3 | 15 | -0.440 | HR | -0.164 | PHR | -0.017 | HR |
| Region-4 | 7 | -0.910 | HR | -0.483 | HR | -0.184 | HR |
| PHR: Possibly homogeneous region, HR: Homogeneous region | | | | | | | |

higher L-skewness and L-kurtosis and shorter length of record. The positions of sample L-moment ratios in the region are scattered as expected (Fig. 5), and the results of the discordancy measure for other sites are shown to be satisfactory.

Homogeneity testing

The positions Hosking and Wallis's heterogeneity statistics have been calculated for the four identified homogeneous regions with including and excluding discordant site in Table 4 and Table 5 respectively. According to the critical values of H_1 , H_2 , and H_3 , the region - 3 appeared to be possibly homogenous/ heterogeneous with discordant site but ignoring discordant site has reduced the values of heterogeneity statistics and no occurrences of values of the test statistic of the Hosking-Wallis tests larger than or equal to 2 ('definite heterogeneity') throughout the perturbed samples. So, all four regions of Bhadar basin can be considered as homogeneous. Kjeldsen et al., (2002) applied the Lmoments for regional frequency analysis of annual maximum series of flood flows in KwaZulu-Natal province of South Africa and identified homogeneous regions, while Kumar and Chatterjee (2005) identified homogenous regions within 13 gauging sites of the north



Fig. 5: L-moment ratios in all region.

Brahmaputra region of India using L-moment approach.

Conclusion

Overall, it was found that cluster analysis together with the L-moments based regional frequency analysis technique can be applied successfully in deriving rainfall based regional homogeneity of Bhadar basin. The regional approach using L-moments may be useful in improving estimates of future changes in precipitation derived from climate models. Nevertheless, it should be noted that, since the regions configured are not only homogeneous as to the statistical characteristics of rainfall, but also reflect climatological differences in precipitation regimes and their future application for the purpose of planning for weather-related emergencies and design of hydraulic engineering structures.

Acknowldgement

Junagadh Agril. University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India.

References

- Adamowski, K. (2000). Regional analysis of annual maximum and partial duration flood data by non-parametric and Lmoment methods. *J. Hydrol.*, **229**, 219-239.
- Burn, D.H. (1990). Evaluation of regional flood frequency analysis with a region of influence approach. *Water Resour. Res.*, **26**, 2257-2265.
- Chow, V.T., Maidment D.R. and Mays L.W. (1988). Applied Hydrology. Tata McGraw-Hill Education. 572.
- Dalrymple, T. (1960). Flood frequency analysis. Manual of hydrology: Part 3 Flood flow techniques. Water supply paper 1543-A. United States Geological Survey, Reston, VA. 80.
- Greiss, N.P. and Wood E.F. (1981). Regional flood frequency analysis and network design. *Water Resour. Res.*, **17**, 1167-1177.
- Hosking, J.R.M. and Wallis J.R. (1993). Some Statistics useful in regional frequency analysis. *Water Recour. Res.*, 29, 271-281.
- Hosking, J.R.M. and Wallis J.R. (1997). Regional frequency analysis. Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom.

- Kendall, M.G. (1975). Rank correlation methods. Charless Griffin, London. 202.
- Kjeldsen, T.R. Smithers J.C. and Chulze R.E. (2002). Regional flood frequency analysis in the KwaZulu-Natal province, South Africa, using the Index-Flood method. *J. Hydrol.*, 255(1-4), 194-211.
- Kumar, R. and Chatterjee C. (2005). Regional flood frequency analysis using L-Moments for North Barhamputra region of India. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, **10**(1), 1-7.
- Lekina, A., Chebana F. and Ouarda T.B.M.J. (2013). Weighted estimate of extreme quantile: An application to the estimation of high flood return periods. *Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess.*, **28**(2), 147-165.
- Mann, H.B. (1945). Nonparametric tests against trend. *Econometrica*, **13(3)**, 245-259.
- Meshgi, A. and Khalili D. (2009). Comprehensive evaluation of flood frequency analysis by L-and LH- moment. *Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess.*, **23**, 119-135.
- Moren, P.A.P. (1950). Notes on continuous stochastic phenomena. *Biometrika*, **37**, 17-23.
- Ngongondo, C.S., Chong Y.X., Tallaksen L.M., Alemaw B. and Chirwa T. (2011). Regional frequency analysis of rainfall extremes in Southern Malawi using the Index Rainfall and L-moments approaches. *Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess.*, 25(7), 939-955.
- Norbiato, D., Borga M. Sangati M. and Zanon F. (2007). Regional frequency analysis of extreme precipitation in the eastern Italian Alps and the August 29, 2003. J. Hydrol., 345, 149-166.
- Smithers, J.C. and Schulze R.E. (2001). A methodology for the estimation of short duration design storms in South Africa using a regional approach based on L-moments. J. Hydrol., 241(1-2), 42-52.
- Stedinger, J.R. and Lu L.H. (1995). Appraisal of regional and index flood quintile estimator. *Stoch. Hydrol. Hydraul.* 9, 49-75.
- Sveinsson, O.G.B., Boes D.C. and Salas J.D. (2001). Population index flood method for regional frequency analysis. *Water Resour. Res.*, 37, 2733-2748.
- Vicens, G.J., Rodríguez-Iturbe I. and Shaake J.C. (1975). A Bayesian framework for the use of regional information in hydrology. *Water Resour. Res.*, **11**, 405-414.